



Inequality of Educational Opportunities

Second International Summer School

Ricardo A. Madeira

Department of Economics – University of São Paulo (USP)

Lecture 1:

Education Inequality: Theory and Evidence





Outline

- 1) Education inequality and welfare inequality
- 2) The school production function and potential sources of inequality
 - I. The role of the main inputs (school inputs, family inputs, social context inputs, etc...)
 - II. Dynamic factors of the production function:
 - I. social interactions, peer effects, parenting and intrahousehold allocation of resources
 - II. Social and racial stereotyping/stigma
 - III. Formal discrimination
- 3) Employing Brazilian (macro and micro) data to connect racial inequality in living standard to racial inequality in schooling
 - I. Evidence of statistical discrimination

Welfare Inequality

- Differences in living standards among social and racial groups are widely documented in different contexts and societies.
 - labor market outcomes
 - Difference in earnings and employment rates
 - health outcomes
 - infant/child mortality, life expectancy and illness incidence
 - crime/violence
 - psychological and physical violence, incarceration rates

Inequality persistence

- Recent research, Chetty et al (AER 2014), has shown that economic differences are quite persistent over time
 - Part of the "The Equality of Opportunity Project"
 - http://www.equality-of-opportunity.org/
 - They use rank-rank specification as a primary measure of economic mobility
 - Rank children based on their incomes relative to other children in same birth cohort
 - Rank parents of these children based on their incomes relative to other parents in this sample
- Let's look at their data!













Evidence from US brought by Chetty et all (WP 2018):



Median Household Income by Race and Ethnicity in 2016

Large income gaps persist between men — but not women.



https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2018/03/19/upshot/race-class-white-and-black-men.html?smid=fb-share

Follow the lives of 9,291 boys who grew up in rich families ...



...and see where they end

...and see where they end up as adults:

For poor children, the pattern is reversed. Most poor black boys • will remain poor as adults. White boys • raised in poor families fare far better.

BLACK MEN WHITE MEN Rich adult 10% 2% 23 Jpper-middle-classadu 19% 6% 69 57 Middle-class adult 19% 16% 89 /er-middle-class adult 27% 24% 103 175 28% 48%

Follow the lives of 3,247 boys who grew up in poor families ...

Grew up poor

Welfare Inequality & Education Inequality

- How welfare inequality relates to education inequality?
 - Intergenerational aspects: Differences in educational choices among different social groups
 - Children from a rich family are much more likely to go to college than children from a poor family – Chetty et all (2017)
 - But: How education attainment translates into economic opportunities?
 - It is nice to look at data across social economic/racial groups on welfare outcomes and education
 - Macro and micro data connecting school progress and education disparities among social/racial group
 - Let's look to data on racial disparities from Brazil to tackle this issue

Brazilian Social and Racial Background

- Social Landscape
 - Inequality in Brazil is extremely high. Brazil ranks 148 in a gini index rank with 158 countries (World Bank)
 - Social and economic mobility in Brazil is very low (Brazil ranks 27 out of 29).
- Racial Landscape
 - Large rates of miscegenation have led most observers to conclude that in the absence of racial conflict, Brazil had simply avoided consequences of enslavement over socioeconomic outcomes and mobility.
 - There is overwhelming evidence of pertinent differences between Whites and non-Whites in terms of wages and other measures of living standards.
- We reproduce some of these stylized facts



Income mobility across generations

Number of generations it would take for those born in low-income families to approach the mean income in their society





Figure 1: Living standards by race, Brazil 2000 Data source: Population Census 2000, IBGE.



Figure 2: Hourly wages by race (in logarithms), Brazil 2000 Data source: Population Census 2000, IBGE.



Figure 3: Hourly wages and non-employment rates by race (in logarithms), Brazil 1992-2009 Data source: PNAD, IBGE.

Stylized Facts : Living Standards and Labor Market

- Blacks are consistently found in worse conditions when compared with Whites on all dimensions of living standards investigated.
- The wage distribution is shifted to the right for Whites.
- Hourly wages are approximately 40% higher for Whites.
- The gap in income-generating capabilities is remarkably constant in the fifteen-year period between 1995 and 2009
 - Racial differences are slightly reduced in terms of wages
 - There is no sign of relative improvement in the unemployment indicator among Blacks

Stylized Facts : Living Standards and Labor Market

- Two main factors that could explain racial differentials in those economic outcomes:
- 1. Discrimination or prejudice against blacks in the labor market
- 2. The result of lower investment in the accumulation of skills by darkerskin individuals, which translates into a scarcity of economic opportunities
 - Pre-market factors (Neal and Johnson, 1995)



Figure 4: Education attainment by race (completed degrees), Brazil 2000 Data source: Population Census 2000, IBGE.



Figure 5: Education attainment by race over time (completed years) for adults age 35, Brazil 1992-2009

Data source: Brazilian Household Survey (PNAD), IBGE.



Data source: Brazilian Household Survey (PNAD), IBGE.

Stylized Facts : Educational Attainment

- Blacks consistently accumulate less human capital in the form of formal education (lower quantity)
- Our findings indicate that accounting for educational disparities accounts for roughly 50% of the differences between Blacks and Whites.
 - Differences in unemployment rates are reduced from 2 to 1 percentage point
 - Differences in hourly wages go from.53 to .24 log-points.
 - Differences are particularly sizable for the population with more education

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Aggregate Data

- The 1990s marked a decade of structural changes in Brazil
 - Inflation stability was reached in 1995
 - planning and investment in education of children became more attractive to poorer parents
 - There was a significant regulatory wave in education policy
 - Initial steps were taken in the establishment of a system accountability based on national examination of students
 - Federal government launched the Bolsa Escola Program (CCT)
 - Major funding reform affected amounts and regional distribution of resources for school construction, maintenance and improvement
- These systemic changes led to a dramatic increase in the rates of enrollment of school-aged children.
 - This "democratization" process has had a major impact on the representation of a deprived portion of the population within classrooms. In particular the black population.



Figure 7: Enrollment rates for children aged 7, Brazil – 1989-2009

Data source: Brazilian Household Survey (PNAD), IBGE.



Figure 8: Enrollment rates for children aged 15, Brazil – 1989-2009 Data source: Brazilian Household Survey (PNAD), IBGE.

•

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- Open question: the quality of education received by each group can be considered comparable?
- Employing administrative data from São Paulo state, we investigate the racial gap in two main dimensions:
 - I. student progression in the school system;
 - II. student performance on standardized tests.

		2nd Grade	3nd Grade	4rd Grade	5th Grade	6th Grade	Total
	2007	345,838					345.838
		100,00%					100,00%
	2008	13,763	323,050				336.813
	2000	3,98%	93,41%				97,39%
	2009	1,924	25,650	306,152			333.726
		0,56%	7,42%	88,52%			96,50%
	2010	400	5,044	26,820	298,699		330.963
	2010	0,12%	1,46%	7,76%	86,37%		95,70%
_	2011	131	1,245	6,012	33,506	281,517	322.411
_		0,04%	0,36%	1,74%	9,69%	81,40%	93,23%

Table 1: Attrition Rates for White Students, all types of schools

 Table 2: Attrition Rates for Black Students, all types of schools

		2nd Grade	3nd Grade	4rd Grade	5th Grade	6th Grade	Total
	2007	186,135					186.135
	2007	100,00%					100,00%
	2009	9,977	169,970				179.947
	2008	5,36%	91,32%				96,68%
		1,664	19,184	157,237			178.085
	2009	0,89%	10,31%	84,47%			95,68%
		356	4,530	19,292	152,112		176.290
	2010	0,19%	2,43%	10,36%	81,72%		94,71%
_		117	1,136	5,252	24,896	139,044	170.445
	2011	0,06%	0,61%	2,82%	13,38%	74,70%	91,57%

	2nd Grade	3nd Grade	4rd Grade	5th Grade	6th Grade	Total
2007	345,838					345.838
2007	100,00%					100,00%
2009	13,763	323,050				336.813
2008	3,98%	93,41%				97,39%
2009	1,924	25,650	306,152			333.726
2005	0,56%	7,42%	88,52%			96,50%
2010	400	5,044	26,820	298,699		330.963
2010	0,12%	1,46%	7,76%	86,37%		95,70%
2011	131	1,245	6,012	33,506	281,517	322.411
2011	0,04%	0,36%	1,74%	9,69%	81,40%	93,23%

Table 1: Attrition Rates for White Students, all types of schools

	Table 2: Attrition Rates for Black Students, all types of schools							
	2nd Grade	3nd Grade	4rd Grade	5th Grade	6th Grade	Total		
2007	186,135					186.135		
	100,00%					100,00%		
2008	9,977	169,970				179.947		
2008	5,36%	91,32%				96,68%		
2009	1,664	19,184	157,237			178.085		
2005	0,89%	10,31%	84,47%			95,68%		
2010	356	4,530	19,292	152,112		176.290		
2010	0,19%	2,43%	10,36%	81,72%		94,71%		
2011	117	1,136	5,252	24,896	139,044	170.445		
2011	0.06%	0.61%	2.82%	13.38%	74.70%	91.57%		

	2nd Grade	3nd Grade	4rd Grade	5th Grade	6th Grade	Total
2007	345,838					345.83
2007	100,00%					100,00%
2008	13,763	323,050				336.81
2000	3,98%	93,41%				97,39%
2009	1,924	25,650	306,152			333.72
2005	0,56%	7,42%	88,52%			96,50%
2010	400	5,044	26,820	298,699		330.96
2010	0,12%	1,46%	7,76%	86,37%		95,70%
2011	131	1,245	6,012	33,506	281,517	322.41
2011		/	1 7 40/	0.60%	01 /00/	02 22%
	0,04% Table 2: Attrit	0,36% ion Rates for E	I,74%	all types of scl	hools	53,237
	0,04% Table 2: Attrit 2nd Grade	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade	1,74% Black Students, 4rd Grade	all types of sci 5th Grade	hools 6th Grade	Total
2007	0,04% Table 2 : Attrit 2nd Grade 186,135	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade	I,74% Black Students, 4rd Grade	all types of scl 5th Grade	hools 6th Grade	Total 186.13
2007	0,04% Table 2: Attrit 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00%	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade	3lack Students, 4rd Grade	all types of scl 5th Grade	hools 6th Grade	Total 186.13 100,009
2007	0,04% Table 2 : Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970	1,74% Black Students, 4rd Grade	all types of scl 5th Grade	hools 6th Crade	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94
2007 2008	0,04% Table 2 : Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36%	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32%	1,74% Black Students, 4rd Grade	all types of scl 5th Grade	hools 6th Grade GAP	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68%
2007 2008 2009	0,04% Table 2 : Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36% 1,664	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32% 19,184	1,74% Black Students, 4rd Grade 157,237	all types of scl 5th Grade	hools 6th Grade GAP	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68% 178.08
2007 2008 2009	0,04% Table 2: Attrit 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36% 1,664 0,89%	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32% 19,184 10,31%	<u>1,74%</u> Black Students, 4rd Grade 157,237 84,47%	all types of scl 5th Grade	6th Grade	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68% 178.08 95,68%
2007 2008 2009 2010	0,04% Table 2: Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36% 1,664 0,89% 356	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32% 19,184 10,31% 4,530	<u>1,74%</u> Black Students, 4rd Grade 157,237 84,47% 19,292	all types of scl 5th Grade 152,112	6th Grade	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68% 178.08 95,68% 176.29
2007 2008 2009 2010	0,04% Table 2: Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36% 1,664 0,89% 356 0,19%	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32% 19,184 10,31% 4,530 2,43%	<u>1,74%</u> Black Students, 4rd Grade 157,237 84,47% 19,292 10,36%	3,09% all types of scl 5th Grade 152,112 81,72%	hools 6th Crade GAP	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68% 178.08 95,68% 176.29 94,71%
2007 2008 2009 2010 2011	0,04% Table 2: Attriti 2nd Grade 186,135 100,00% 9,977 5,36% 1,664 0,89% 356 0,19% 117	0,36% ion Rates for E 3nd Grade 169,970 91,32% 19,184 10,31% 4,530 2,43% 1,136	<u>1,74%</u> Black Students, <u>4rd Grade</u> 157,237 84,47% 19,292 10,36% 5,252	3,09% all types of scl 5th Grade 152,112 81,72% 24,896	6th Crade GAP 139,044	Total 186.13 100,009 179.94 96,68% 178.08 95,68% 176.29 94,71% 170.44

Table 1: Attrition Rates for White Students, all types of schools

Table 3: Attrition Rates for White Students, all types of schools							
	8th Grade	9th Grade	10th Grade	11th Grade	12th Grade	Total	
2007	250,896					250.896	
2007	100,00%					100,00%	
2009	11,176	229,145				240.321	
2008	4,45%	91,33%				95,79%	
2000	2,329	22,153	201,168			225.650	
2009	0,93%	8,83%	80,18%			89,94%	
2010	576	5,750	36,859	173,259		216.444	
2010	0,23%	2,29%	14,69%	69,06%		86,27%	
	60	893	10,648	27,231	156,705	195.537	
2011	0,02%	0,36%	4,24%	10,85%	62,46%	77,94%	

	Table 4: Attrition Rates for Black Students, all types of schools						
	8th Grade	9th Grade	10th Grade	11th Grade	12th Grade	Total	
2007	142,758					142.758	
2007	100,00%					100,00%	
2008	9,219	125,078			GAP	134.297	
2008	6,46%	87,62%				94,07%	
2009	2,076	17,440	103,898			123.414	
2009	1,45%	12,22%	72,78%			86,45%	
2010	538	4,906	26,345	84,799		116.588	
2010	0,38%	3,44%	18,45%	59,40%		81,67%	
2011	67	752	8,355	17,847	73,852	100.873	
2011	0,05%	0,53%	5,85%	12,50%	51,73%	70,66%	

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- Retention and failure rates are much higher for black students:
 - 81.4% of the White second graders (in 2007) reached the sixth grade in 2011, whereas only 74.7% of the Black do so.
 - 74% of the White students in the eighth grade reach the last year of high school (grade 12), whereas only 51% of the Black do so.
- Do differences in attrition between school levels result from students' own learning experiences?
Figure 3. Cumulative Distribution Functions for Proficiency Scores and Teacher-Assigned Grades for 8th Graders.



- To investigate what forces might be behind the racial gaps it is important to understand the determinants of learning at school.
 - The production function of learning
- There is a vast theoretical and empirical literature on the determinants of learning
 - The theoretical literature has hypothesized a myriad of factors that might influence learning at school
 - The recent empirical literature has made important advancements in measuring the relevance of some of these different factors

Student Learning

School fixed factors

Student Learning

Infrastructure Localization Neighborhood Characteristics Institutional context

Student Learning













Pedagogical practices

quality

























Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- We turn to a more careful investigation of prevalence and persistence of the proficiency gap
- Exploring the longitudinal aspect of the data, we computed the proficiency gap evolution over time within students cohorts.
 - Model 1: Does not account for differences in the school environment and students' socioeconomic characteristics
 - *Model 2*: accounts for differences in observable socioeconomic characteristics
 - Model 3: compares only students in the same school and controls for socioeconomic characteristics

Model 1

Does not account for differences in the school environment and students' socioeconomic characteristics



Model 2 accounts for differences in observable socioeconomic characteristics



Model 3 compares only students in the same school and controls for socioeconomic characteristics





Figure 17: Math Proficiency Gaps (z-scores % of correct answers) over time in school Data source: SARESP

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- Even after controlling for school environment and students' socioeconomic background a gap remains for all grades
- The evidence is consistent with a constant gap over time
- Children "bring" the gap to school at the time of entry.
 - Such gap is neither explained away by socioeconomic differences nor eliminated by the training offered in the public schools.
- Usual explanations for the existing racial gap in proficiency, such as differences in school quality, school environment and socioeconomic background explain only about 55% of the gap
- These findings suggest that even if the democratization process eventually closes the secular racial gap in years of education, Blacks will still be lagging Whites in proficiency.
- Big challenge: design and adopt policies capable of closing these gaps
- To achieve this goal is necessary to identify the main causes of the proficiency gap (beyond the usual explanations)

What if teachers treat Black and White students differently?



Why look at teachers?

- Teachers effectiveness accounts, on average, for about 30% for the observed variation in students performance.
 - A large set of factors known as the "socio economic status" is the best predictor of student performance.
 - Just too many things are in the SES (health, socio-emotional aspects, parenting...)
 - Very hard to affect (and target) them through education/social policy
 - Teacher "quality" has been shown to be the most relevant single school input.
 - Chetty et all (2014), Araujo et all (2013), Glewwe et all (2013), Hanuschek (2014)...

Teacher "quality" on students performance



Chetty et all (2014)

Teacher "quality" on future outcomes



Chetty et all (2014)

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- What if teachers treat Black and White students differently, unfavoring the closing of pre-existing gaps?
 - We combine student-level data on standardized test scores with data on students' report cards in order to tackle this issue.
 - We explore the fact that SARESP's grading is color blind and that the state schools in Sao Paulo adopted an uniform criterion-referenced rule
 - The rationale for the empirical exercises performed here is to see whether White and Black students with the same blindly-graded math score (SARESP) receive different grades

Figure 4. Smoothed Raw Relation Between Proficiency Scores and Teacher-Assigned Grades for 8th Graders.





Unconditional and Conditional Racial Differentials in Grading – OLS and IV Estimations

Panel B: intra-classroom percentile rank of end-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100) Above classroom median grade


Conditional Racial Differentials in Grading by Teacher's Evaluation Practices and Race – IV Estimations Panel A: End-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100 scale)



Panel B: intra-classroom percentile rank of end-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100)



Conditional Racial Differentials in Grading and Learning Students' Types – IV Estimations



Panel A: End-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100 scale)

Panel B: intra-classroom percentile rank of end-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100)



Conditional Racial Differentials in End-of-year assessment by teacher (0-100 scale) and Learning Students' Types – IV Estimations for Signals Beyond Race and Interactions with Behavioral Traits



Interactions with SES added

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- There are still differences in assessments that are not explained by the controls
- Blacks are more likely to be under-ranked relative to Whites
- Either an indication of discrimination within schools or that students are different in dimensions (observable by teachers) beyond the ones we are capable of measuring.
 - Our evidence suggest the existence of statistical discrimination against blacks.

Trends in Attainment Gaps: Longitudinal Micro Data

- These results are particularly worrisome in a scenario where parents and children themselves make investment and effort decisions after extracting from school transcriptions signals regarding scholastic abilities.
- Teacher's assessment may also affect key noncognitive aspects of a child's life (such as self-esteem, confidence and motivation)
- Such mechanism could reinforce racial gaps in the accumulation of human capital.

Concluding Remarks

- Much of living standards inequality might be related to education inequality
 - Education is key for intergenerational aspects of inequality
- The school production function provides an useful framework to investigate the potential sources of education inequality
- Employing Brazilian (macro and micro) data we show robust evidence that statistical discrimination might explain part of the education racial inequality in Brazil.

Thank You

Ricardo A. Madeira rmadeira@usp.br